

Intrusion Injection for Virtualized Systems Concepts and Approach

Charles Gonçalves, Nuno Antunes, Marco Vieira

University of Coimbra

charles@dei.uc.pt







CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR





Motivation

- Cloud Computing: main motivation
 - "The use of cloud computing has been growing in the last few years..."
- Virtualization
 - Keystone technology that enables cloud
- Has been adopted across various industries to enhance efficiency, flexibility, and cost-effectiveness, including safety-critical areas
 - Aviation, automotive, healthcare, nuclear power plants...

Contextualization

- Hypervisors:
 - Manage the physical resources
 - Complex and undoubtedly have bugs
- Limited approaches to assessing its security
- Security mechanism can't avoid exploitation
- The current dilemma is "Not how, but when"



Source: Lipson, F. H, Tracking and Tracing Cyber-Attacks: Technical Challenges and Global Policy Issues

Evaluating Security

- Lack of consolidated and practical solutions
- Rely on vulnerabilities to this goal is unreasonable:
 - Impossible to predict (vulnerability/attack)
 - Attack a real vulnerability can be a challenge
 - Many vulnerabilities were fixed prior to the release
 - Vulnerabilities should be fixed
- Create a representative attack corpus seems impossible

Problem

Understand how hypervisors deal with successful intrusions, even if we don't know :

- What vulnerabilities are in the system
- Which attacks may occur

Agenda

- Concepts
- Intrusion Injection
- Feasibility test
- Conclusions and Limitations

Concepts

AVI model



Intrusion - a malicious operational fault resulting from a successful attack on a vulnerability

Verissimo, Neves, and Correia, "Intrusion-Tolerant Architectures: Concepts and Design," in Architecting Dependable Systems, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 3–36.

Extending the AVI model



erroneous states are used instead of errors to emphasize that these are intrusion induced errors

Intrusion Injection



In the same way errors can be injected to emulate the effects of potential faults, they can be injected to mimic the effect of attacks on potential vulnerabilities

Intrusion Model (IM)

- The definition of the main aspects of the injection
- Crucial to achieve representativeness
 - Security implications
- It must be closely related to attacks and should generalize the main concepts
 - Attack and erroneous states
- But how to model that !?
 - Sergey Bratus : "What hacker research taugh me"
 - One intended machine endless Weird Machines



IM Definition

- The intrusion Model *abstracts* how an erroneous state is achieved when using an abusive functionality through a given interface
- XSA-387: bug in grant table
- XSA-393: bug decrese_reservation hypercall
 - Very different bug
 - Exact abusive functionality: allows a guest to keep access to a memory page after a release operation

Abusive functionality

- We assume the existence of a set of AF capable of generalizing the outcome of different classes of intrusions
- Initial investigation ~100 CVE shows evidence that this might be possible

TABLE I EXAMPLE OF ABUSIVE FUNCTIONALITIES THAT CAN BE OBTAINED FROM ACTIVATING XEN VULNERABILITIES.

Memory Access – 35 CVEs

Read Unauthorized Memory	11
Write Unauthorized Memory	09
Write Unauthorized Arbitrary Memory	02
R/W Unauthorized Memory	12
Fail a Memory Access	01

Memory Management - 40 CVEs

Corrupt Virtual Memory Mapping	04
Corrupt a Page Reference	04
Decrease Page Mapping Availability	01
Guest-Writable Page Table Entry	07
Fail a memory mapping	02
Uncontrolled Memory Allocation	11
Keep Page Access	11

Exceptional Conditions – 11 CVEs

Induce a Fatal Exception Induce a Memory Exception	
Non-Memory Related – 22 CVEs	
Induce a Hang State	20
Uncontrolled Arbitrary Interrupts Requests	02

The Reachability Problem

- A particular state can be reached through a specific sequence of events: i.e, an erroneous state can be reached from an intrusion injection technique
- Challenges:
 - Security-related EE vs accidental faults EE
 - Reachable by Intrusion Injection but not by any exploitation
 - Technical feasibility of certain erroneous states
 - Unknown erroneous states

Feasibility Test

Memory Intrusion Injector Prototype

```
int do_arbitrary_access(
    unsigned long addr, // Target Address
    void *buff, // Buffer to read/write
    size_t n, // Buffer size
    int action); // Operation and Mode
```

- An Intrusion Injector that allows read/write in:
 - Hypervisor linear space
 - Physical Address
- Uses Xen directives to directly access the hardware
- Easily portable to different versions of Xen

Experimental Feasibility Test

- RQ1: Is it possible to inject erroneous states in a virtualized system in a way that emulates the effects of attacks exploiting real vulnerabilities?
- RQ2: Can intrusion injection induce the erroneous states (similar to those observed in real intrusions) in nonvulnerable versions?
- RQ3: Can intrusion injection potentially support the assessment of security attributes in virtualized systems?
 Test A
- Reachability problem?
 - i.e. realistic EE
 - Ground the experiments on exploits.



Case Studies

Use Case	Abusive Functionality
XSA-212-crash	Write Arbitrary Memory
XSA-212-priv	Write Arbitrary Memory
XSA-148-priv	Write Page Table Entries
XSA-182-test	Write Page Table Entries

XSA-212

- Vulnerability in memory_exchange() hypercall
- Enable the arbitrary memory access by encoding target address as input

XSA-212-crash (RQ1 and RQ2)

		(XEN)	XEN) *** DOUBLE FAULT ***				
		(XEN)	[Xen-4.6.0 x86_64 debug=n Tainted: C]				
	Goal: Crash the hest	(XEN)	CPU: 23				
÷.,		(XEN)	RIP: e033:[<0000000042045d>] 0000000042045d				
		(XEN)	RFLAGS: 0000000000010202 CONTEXT: hypervisor				
•	Overwrite the page fault handler in IDT						
	and cause a double fault	(XEN) ************************************					
and cause a double		(XEN)	Panic on CPU 23:				
		(XEN)	DOUBLE FAULT system shutdown				
•	Erroneous State: corrupted page fault	(XEN)	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
handler		(XEN)					
			Reboot in five seconds				

Use Case	4.6		4.8		4.13	
	PoC	Effector	PoC	Effector	PoC	Effector
Erroneous State	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Security Violation	\checkmark	\checkmark	n/a	\checkmark	n/a	✓

XSA-212-priv (RQ3)

- Goal: Privilege Escalation
- Create a writable page table for a hidden memory location and install an exploit
- Erroneous State: writable page table

root@guest03 ~/xsa212/privesc_poc:
\$./attack 'echo "|\$(id)|@\$hostname)"' > /tmp/injector_log
press enter to contine

root@guest03 ~/xsa212/privesc_poc:

root@xen3 ~:
\$ cat /tmp/injector_log
|uid=0(root) gid=0(root) groups=0(root)|@xen3

116.268081] ### crafted PUD entry written

116.292081] linked PMD into target PUD

116.284080] going to link PMD into target PUD

Use Case	4.6		4.8		4.13	
	PoC Effector		PoC Effector		PoC Effector	
Erroneous State	√	✓	≯	✓	⊁	√
Security Violation	√	✓	n/a	✓	n∕a	

Conclusions and Limitations

- It can emulate the effects of exploiting real vulnerabilities, even in nonvulnerable version and we have some evidence that it can support the assessment of security
- **Easier** than developing exploits/tools to attack the system
- Possibly study the impact of **unknown** vulnerabilities
- Portable test cases based on architecture of the target systems rather than on implementation
- IMs are not yet well defined and need further investigation
- The reachability problem is still an open issue



Questions?

Charles Gonçalves, Nuno Antunes, Marco Vieira

University of Coimbra

charles@dei.uc.pt

Funding:

- Project "Agenda Mobilizadora Sines Nexus
- FCT Foundation for Science and Technology
- SPEC RG Security Benchmarking
- CEFET-MG







CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR



